



ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 056159-5028

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re A	pplicati	ion of:)					
BEINI	OORFF	ET AL)					
Applic	application No.: 09/863,439) Group Art Unit: 1651				
Filed: For:	May 24	4, 2001 DS OF URSOLIC ACID/)	Examiner: Coe	RECEIVED OCT 21 2002 TECH CENTER 1600/2900			
ror:		NOLIC ACID)		OCT 2 1 2002			
		r for Patents O.C. 20231			TECH CENTER.			
Sir:								
		AMENDMENT TRAN	<u>SMITT</u>	AL FORM				
1.	Transmitted herewith is a Response to the Office Action dated August 27, 2002.							
2.	Additional papers enclosed:							
3.	Extension of Time							
	The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) apply.							
	\boxtimes	Applicant believes that no extension conditional petition is being made to inadvertently overlooked the need for	provid	e for the possibility	that applicant has			
		Applicant petitions for an extension 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a), for the total nur						

	Total Months Requested	Fee for Extension	[Fee for Small Entity]		
	one month two months three months four months	\$ 110.00 \$ 400.00 \$ 920.00 \$ 1,440.00	\$ 55.00 \$ 200.00 \$ 460.00 \$ 720.00		
	Extension of time fee due with this request: \$				
	If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a Petition therefor.				
]	An extension formonths has already been secured and the fee paid therefor of \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.				

4. <u>Constructive Petition</u>

EXCEPT for issue fees payable under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18, the Commissioner is hereby authorized by this paper to charge any additional fees during the entire pendency of this application including fees due under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required, including any required extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 50-0310. This paragraph is intended to be a CONSTRUCTIVE PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(3).

5. Fee Calculation (37 C.F.R. §1.16)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED						
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid	Present Extra	at Rate of	Total Fees
Total Claims (37 C.F.R. §1.16(c))	?	minus	?	?	x \$18 each=	+ \$
Independent Claims (37 C.F.R.§1.16(b))	?	minus	?	?	x \$84 each=	+ \$
[] First presentation of Multiple dependent claim(s) \$280.00						+ \$
SUB-TOTAL =					\$	
Reduction by ½ for filing by a small entity					- \$	
TOTAL FEE =					\$ 0	

6. <u>Fee Payment</u>

No fee is to be paid at this time.
Check in the amount of \$ for The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional extension of time fee or additional fee for claims due to Deposit Account No. 50-0310.
The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, including fees due under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 50-0310.

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

Dated: October 18, 2002

By: De Company Paul N. Kokulis
Reg. No. 16773

CUSTOMER NO. 09629

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004 Telephone: (202) 739-5455 Facsimile: (202) 739-3001



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

OCT 2 1 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

In re Application of

BEINDORFF ET AL

Serial No.:

09/863,439

Filed: May 24, 2001

Title: BLENDS OF URSOLIC ACID/

OLEANOLIC ACID

Group Art Unit: 1651

Examiner: Coe

October 18, 2002

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated August 27, 2002, please amend the above application as follows:

IN THE SPECIFICATION

Page 1, 1st ¶, beginning at line 3, amend to read as follows:

Ursolic acid and oleanolic acid are known compounds that are also known for their health effects. References wherein these effects are disclosed are, e.g. JP 09/040 689; JP 09/067 249; CN 1 085 748; JP 1 039 973; JP 03/287 531; JP 03/287 430; EP 774 255; JP 07/258 098; JP 07/048 260; JP 01/132 531; FR 2 535 203; and JP 1 207 262. Compositions comprising ursolic acid and oleanolic acid in weight ratios of 1:99 to 99:1 can be obtained by extracting natural sources like fruit skins or herbs, in particular by extracting skins of apples, pears, cranberries, cherries and prunes. The extracts so obtained contain ursolic acid and oleanolic acid in amounts of about 5-60% and in weight ratios mentioned above. However, these extracts have a major drawback, i.e. the extracts display a severe negative off flavour and as the components are intended to be used as health components